OBJECTIVE: The objective of this study was to verify if individuals with Down syndrome have improved performance in completing a virtual maze task using a mobile phone.

METHOD: For this task, 30 teenagers and young adults were evaluated, 15 Down syndrome patients and 15 typically developed controls. The execution of the task was to play a maze on a mobile phone. The subjects performed 30 repetitions of the maze game in the acquisition phase, five repetitions for retention and five for transfer phase. A repeated measures ANOVA was used to compare blocks (first and last - A1 - A6 acquisition blocks, retention A6 - R and transfer A6 - T) and Groups (Down syndrome and typical development).

RESULTS: The results showed that both groups had significant improvement over time in the acquisition phase, the retention and transfer tests showed that there was performance consolidation for both groups, but with longer movement time in the Down syndrome group.

CONCLUSION: Comparing the two groups, individuals with Down syndrome required more time to run the maze in all phases of the task.
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INTRODUCTION

Down syndrome (DS) is caused by trisomy of human chromosome 21 (Hsa21) and results in a large number of phenotypes. Wuang et al. note that individuals with DS exhibit delays in motor milestone attainment, sensorimotor performance deficit, and significant limitations in both intellectual functioning and adaptive behaviour. According to Rodenbush et al. the biomechanical alterations characteristic of individuals with DS such as hypermobility, hypotonia, and ligament laxity are responsible for the delayed acquisition of motor development milestones. Mancini et al. claim that children with DS have development levels significantly below children with typical development in all areas related to global and fine motor skills, and this difference can be magnified as time progresses.

Later, the changes in motor development observed in individuals with DS are considered the most frequent cause of learning difficulties. Considering the importance of learning motor functions for people with DS, Gimenez et al. investigated whether the acquisition of motor skills and the synchronisation time on different tasks differs in individuals with DS compared to typical development. Possebom et al. investigated learning through a maze task performed on a computer by individuals with DS and found an improvement in performance and acquisition task of adapting the phases of retention and transfer.

Motor learning is characterized by intrinsic changes that determine the ability of an individual to perform certain tasks, leading to improved performance from practice. These changes take place with a view
to objectifying the given task, arising from the experience and practice, resulting in the acquisition, retention, and transfer of motor skills. Although there are studies on DS in the area of motor learning, it seems worthwhile to develop research that incorporates advances in technology that enable tasks not only in real, but also in virtual environments. Virtual reality (VR) is a new technology that allows users to interact with a scene in three dimensions generated by a computer during a certain task execution, allowing and providing increased visual, sensory, and auditory feedback.

In addition to computers, televisions, projectors and wireless devices, new technologies such as smart cellphones, may offer diverse opportunities for learning through motor tasks. Mobile devices enable control over movement and mobile use in terms of time and place, as well as in terms of communication with others, and interaction with virtual objects.

Therefore, the objective of this study was to verify if individuals with DS are capable of acquiring improved performance in the execution of a virtual maze task using a mobile phone. As a working hypothesis for this project, people with DS may adapt to the maze task with cellphones and thus display performance improvement with practice.

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

A total of 30 adolescents and young adults participated in this study: 15 patients with a diagnosis of Down syndrome (DS-group), seven male, eight female, aged 22.2 ± 7.2 (min: 10/max: 34) years old. The control group consisted of 15 typically developed (TD-group) volunteers, matched by age (22.2 ± 7.2 years old) and gender (seven male, eight female) with DS-group. This study was approved by the ethics committee on research at the ABC medical school under case number CAEE: 3912214.6.0000.0082.

All participants evaluated were able to perform the grip movement to hold the mobile phone with one or both hands. Individuals with comorbidities and functional disabilities that would impede the completion of the task were excluded.

### Instrument

For data collection, we used a mobile phone set (smartphone brand Nokia®) and a game called Marble Maze Classic®, in which the person must drive a virtual ball through a predetermined path in a maze with the goal of reaching a final target in the shortest time possible.

Simulating a wooden table with walls that enclose the path of the labyrinth, the virtual ball makes its way by means of movements made by the hand holding the mobile phone, as shown in Figure 1. As the phone is tilted, the virtual ball rolls along the slope.

For this study, a maze was customized with a unique path; thus the route to be followed by the ball was the same in all trials. The time taken to move the virtual ball through the maze path to the final stop was timed by the game.

### Procedures

The participants were positioned comfortably in a chair adjusted according to size and needs along with a footrest so that they were positioned properly to enable task execution.

Before starting the task, the operation of the game was verbally explained and a demonstration was offered by the examiner. Runtime was noted in each experimental stage, as explained below: acquisition (A), retention (R), and transfer (T). The customized game used in this study consisted of a maze with a path that required eight basic movements for the virtual ball to reach the end of the labyrinth.

According to the protocol, the acquisition phase consisted of 30 repetitions of the maze task, divided into 6 blocks of five repeats each (A1 to A6). A five-minute interval of rest followed, during which participants were not in touch with the task. The retention phase (R) followed, in which five replicates of the same maze acquisition were performed. Immediately after the retention, the transfer (T) test was applied, whereupon five repetitions were performed with a new maze configuration, with a totally opposite path (inverted vertically and horizontally) to the acquisition and retention phases. The time taken to complete the task was noted for the 30 repeats of the acquisition phase, for the five repeats of the retention and five of the transfer test.

### Data analysis

After collection, the data were analysed by means of blocks of five attempts for each phase of the study (acquisition A1-A6, retention R, and transfer T). The dependent variables were submitted to a 2 (group: DS vs. TD) by 2 (blocks) ANOVA with repeated measures on the last factor. For the factor block separate comparisons were made for acquisition (first acquisition block A1 versus final acquisition block A6), retention (A6 versus retention block R) and transfer (A6 versus transfer block T). All results are presented as means (M). Post-hoc comparisons were carried out using Tukey-HSD (Honest Significant Differences) test (p < 0.05).
as shown in Figure 1. For DS-group movement time fell from 9912 ms to 7539 ms, whereas for TD-group the fall was from 5433 ms to 3894 ms. Both reductions were significant (mean of both groups fell from 7672 ms to 5716 ms). The intergroup difference was significant, showing that DS-group performed more slowly (M = 8725 ms) than TD-group (M = 4664 ms). These results are displayed in Figure 2.

Retention

The comparison between A6 and the retention in phase R showed a significant effect for blocks, groups and interaction between blocks and groups (Table 1). The post hoc test showed that for the DS-group, the movement time in R (M = 6782 ms) was better than in A6 (M = 7539 ms); for the TD-group this improvement was not significant (M = 3927 ms to A6 = 3894 ms, respectively). This result indicates that the learning in both groups had consolidated. Also, as expected, the movement time of the DS-group was greater (M = 7160 ms) than the TD-group (M = 3911 ms). These data are displayed in Figure 2.

Transfer

In the comparison between A6 and transfer block T, there were no significant effects or interactions for blocks, suggesting that learning was consolidated in the groups. However, an effect remained present between groups (Table 1), in which the DS-group had a larger movement time (M = 8275 ms) when compared to TD-group (M = 4172 ms) as shown in Figure 2.

■ DISCUSSION

This study aimed to determine whether individuals with Down syndrome are capable of developing improved performance in the execution of a virtual maze task using a mobile phone.

The results confirm the initial hypothesis: in the acquisition phase Down syndrome individuals and normal controls showed significant improvement in the time between the initial performance (block A1) and end (A6 block). Initially, the participants had inconsistent movements, but performance time decreased with practice over the later attempts, characterizing an improvement in performance through practice in the maze task. However, an effect remained present between groups in which the DS-group had a larger movement time when compared to TD-group.

The comparison between the groups showed that the Down syndrome individuals had greater difficulty in carrying out the task as evidenced by the longer time required for execution. These data confirm the results of Palisano et al., which indicated that individuals with the syndrome need more time to learn certain movements as they increase in complexity when compared to individuals with normal development.

Another relevant factor in the assessment of motor learning is the data found in the retention phase, where the performance was retested after a period without contact with the task. The results showed a significant reduction of time effect between the respective starting blocks of acquisition and the retention block, especially in the group with DS. This means that both groups had improved performance in retention phase. The result of this was that successful practice leads to better representation of skill, resulting in greater retention of the same.

In addition to the improved performance in the acquisition and retention phases, it is important to note that participants maintained the reduction of time during the transfer phase of the task. It may be stated that regardless of the difficulty encountered in the maze task in the cell phone, participants were able to maintain performance allowing an adaptation from a stabilisation phase, featuring the motor learning.

Table 1 - Statistical data of the results from acquisition, retention and transfer phases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>Main effect: Block (df) F-ratio p-value</th>
<th>Main effect: Group (df) F-ratio p-value</th>
<th>Interaction: Block x Group (df) F-ratio p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition (Block A1 with A6)</td>
<td>(1,26) 13.1 0.001 0.34</td>
<td>(1,26) 31.2 &lt; 0.001 0.55</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retention (Block A6 with R)</td>
<td>(1,26) 5.9 0.022 0.19</td>
<td>(126) 38.9 &lt; 0.001 0.60</td>
<td>(1,26) 7.04 0.013 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer (Block A6 with T)</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer (Block A1 with T)</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>(1,26) 33.2 &lt; 0.001 0.56</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

df: degrees of freedom; A1: first block of acquisition.
In the comparison between the groups, individuals with DS took more time for task execution in all phases of the task when compared to the TD-group. Possebom et al. evaluated individuals with DS in maze task in the computer, and also found that both people with Down syndrome and normally developed individuals were able to satisfactorily cope with the phases of acquisition, retention, and transfer, but in all stages, persons with DS had significantly lower results when compared to TD-group; they claim that these data become relevant from the interventional point of view on these subjects, either in rehabilitation or in physical activity. The motor deficit in individuals with DS is not necessarily a consequence of changes in their genetic material, because they have great potential for improvement in their motor performance, but some factors may directly influence performance in motor learning people with DS.

Meegan et al. compared motor learning in individuals with DS with different feedbacks, and demonstrated a level lower efficiency and increased numbers of errors during performance when compared with participants in the visual-motor performance group.

Considering the knowledge-of-result that participants had at the end of each trial, Salmoni et al. showed that this knowledge-of-result imparted at the right time positively influenced the outcome of the task.

Similar results were found by Chiviacowsky et al. They compared different frequency results of knowledge and found no significant differences in relation to a throwing task with the dominant hand performed by individuals with DS, concluding that high or low knowledge-of-result frequencies can be effective for learning motor skills in adults with DS.

The use of Virtual Reality games can emphasize an improvement in the participation of the individual and encourage activities in daily life and can be achieved with the higher performance of sensory, motor and cognitive functions in a way that stimulates and motivates individuals in their own recovery.

Continuous advances in VR technology along with cost savings have supported the development of systems with greater accessibility and usefulness aimed exclusively at changes in the physical, psychological and cognitive domains. There are different possibilities of using the knowledge of VR in persons with DS, as in computer games, video games, and tablets; however, the use of a mobile phone as interactive technology is a viable option and a low-cost technology accessible to many.

We believe that other studies with a larger number of participants, checking the influence of the inversion of the drawings and the maze, will be important in future studies.

**CONCLUSION**

We conclude that the process of motor learning in individuals with DS through the maze task in mobile phone showed improved performance, as evidenced by a reduced time in the retention phase and maintenance in the transfer phase, confirming the occurrence of motor learning.
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APRENDIZADO MOTOR PARA PORTADORES DE SÍNDROME DE DOWN USANDO UM TELEFONE CELULAR - PROJETO PILOTO

OBJETIVO: O objetivo deste estudo foi verificar se os indivíduos com síndrome de Down melhoram o desempenho em uma tarefa de labirinto virtual usando um telefone celular.

MÉTODO: Para esta tarefa, foram avaliados 30 adolescentes e adultos jovens, onde 15 eram parte do grupo experimental e 15 do grupo controle.

RESULTADOS: Os resultados mostraram que ambos os grupos apresentavam uma melhoria significativa ao longo do tempo nas fases de aquisição e de retenção. Na fase de transferência, eles foram capazes de manter o desempenho, permitindo uma adaptação da fase de estabilização, que caracteriza a aprendizagem de motora.

CONCLUSÃO: Comparando os dois grupos, os indivíduos com SD tem necessidade de um tempo maior para completar o labirinto em todas as fases da tarefa.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE: síndrome de Down, aprendizagem motora, realidade virtual.

REFERENCES